Form 1449) Modified		Application Number	09/893,292	
			Filling Date		
			First Named Inventor		
			Art Unit		
			Examiner Name		
			Applicant's File Number		
	F D-44	D l. l	lanantan an Amaliaant	Delevent Information	Description
	Foreign Patent		Inventor or Applicant	Relevent Information	Previous
<u>nitials</u>	Document No.		Name	<u> </u>	Submitted
		mm-dd-yyyy	THOMSON BRANDT	Castasta 92 Cassial Interest	V
		06-19-1987	THOMSON BRANDT	Footnote 82 Special Interest	
		02-12-1992	NINTENDO CO	Footnote 81 Special Interest	res
		01-13-1994	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		02-13-1992	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		02-10-1992	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	-
		02-19-1992	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
	DE69114400	12-14-1995	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		01-16-1996	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		02-19-1992	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		03-17-1995	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
	KR9705724	06-11-1997	BURR BROWN CORP	Cited for Related Interest	
		04-01-1992	NINTENDO CO	Cited for Related Interest	
		06-16-1995		Cited for Related Interest	
		06-19-1987	THOMSON BRANDT	Footnote 82 Special Interest	
	EP0205726	12-30-1986		l	Yes
	SU739505	12-28-1977	BARANOV ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	GB2205941	12-21-1988	IBM CORP	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		08-07-1991			Yes
		08-10-1983	ALPS / Murata et al	Footnote 59 Special Interest	Yes
	DE19519941	03-13-1997	WERGEN	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0438919	07-31-1991	KAYE, ARTHUR	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0616298	09-21-1994	YANO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP5-87760	11-26-1993	MITSUMI / Furukawa	Footnote 48 Special Interest	Yes
	JP7302159	11-14-1995	SEGA / Terajima	Footnote 61 Special Interest	Yes
·	DE3031484	11-04-1982	GRUNDIG EMV	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	DE3634912	04-28-1988	LINK KG	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	DE4019211	01-03-1991	LUTRON ELECTRONICS	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	DE19606408	08-28-1997	CONTELEC AG	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
-		10-18-1989	NAMCO	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		01-19-1994		Footnote 84 Special Interest	
	EP1080753	03-07-2001	NAMCO	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		04-08-1981	SHINESU POLYMER	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		01-09-1991	MITSUBISHI	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		12-01-1993	PHILLIP COLLINS	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		06-25-1997	SAMSUNG DISPLAY	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		09-09-1985	ASAHI CHEMICAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		07-16-1987	KANAZAWA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		06-18 - 1990		Cited for Related Interest	<u> </u>
		06-18-1990		Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		05-08-1991		Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		05-28-1992		Cited for Related Interest	1.00
		05-28-1992		Cited for Related Interest	Yes
		06-18-1992 06-18-1993		Cited for Related Interest	Yes

		<u> </u>		
		•		1
Examiner			Date	•
Signature			Considered	J

Examine Initials	Foreign Patent Document No.		Inventor or Applicant Name	Relevent Information	Previously Submitted
		mm-dd-yyyy		-	
	JP6154422	06-03-1994	NAMCO	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	JP7281824	10-27-1995	NAMCO	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	JP09213168	08-15-1997	KOIZUMI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	JP9218737	08-19-1997	NAMCO	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP9223607	08-26-1997	KOIZUMI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	JP11031606	02-02-1999	KOIZUMI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	RU2010369	03-30-1994	SMYSLOV	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	WO9532776	12-07-1995	FUJIWARA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	WO9957630	11-11-1999	SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	EP0905725	03-31-1999	EATON CORP	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2155953	10-02-1985	PERMELEC ELEC.	Cited for Related Interest	
	WO9718508	05-22-1997	SYNAPTICS INC	Footnote 91 Special Interest	
	DE4013227	05-29-1991	POULSOM ETAL	Footnote 97 Special Interest	
	CA2038894	05-24-1994	PARK	Cited for Related Interest	
	WO9428387	08-08-1995	BROWN ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	
	DE69306678	01-30-1997	TEXAS INSTRUMENTS	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP6058419	03-01-1994	TEXAS INSTRUMENTS	Cited for Related Interest	
	WO9318475	12-31-1996	ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0451676	10-16-1991		oFootnote 85 Special Interest	
	DE4011636	10-10-1991		oCited for Related Interest	
-	JP4230918	08-19-1992		oCited for Related Interest	
	AU544234	05-23-1985	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	CA1143030	03-23-1983	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	CA1143030	09-13-1983	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	CA1161921	02-07-1984	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	CA1151921	09-13-1983	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	<u> </u>
	CA1153802	09-13-1983	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	CA1153802 CA1153803	09-13-1983	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	DE3044384	08-27-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	FR2470435	05-29-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2064873	06-17-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2004873 GB2134320	08-08-1984	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2134321	08-08-1984	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2134321 GB213422		EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
		08-08-1984	. 		
	IT1143185	10-22-1986	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP1976280	10-17-1995	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP5196524	08-06-1993	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP6058276	08-03-1994	MASUDA MASANORI	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP2108444	11-06-1996	ITOYAMA SEIJI	Cited for Related Interest	-
	JP5197381	08-06-1993	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP6101567	12-12-1994	RIKEN CORP	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP1125871	05-18-1989	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP1993198	11-22-1995	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP5022398	03-29-1993	CANON INC	Cited for Related Interest	
	NL8006409	06-16-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	SE452925	12-21-1987	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	SE8008205	05-27-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP1710832	11-11-1992	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP2049029	10-26-1990	NIPPON TELEGR	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP56108279	08-27-1981	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	
	WO9304348	03-04-1993	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	

	1	 	1		
Examiner				Date	
Signature				Considered	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Examine	r Foreign Patent	Publication	Inventor or Applicant	Relevent Information	Previously
<u>Initials</u>	Document No.		Name	_	Submitted
		mm-dd-yyyy			
	DE3687571	03-04-1993	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0227432	07-01-1987	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP1875027	09-26-1994	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP62177426	08-04-1987	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2133957	08-01-1984	BOUGHTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	CN1202254	12-16-1998	SYNAPTICS INC	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0861462	09-02-1998	SYNAPTICS INC	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP11511580	10-05-1999	SYNAPTICS INC	Cited for Related Interest	
	AU557120	12-04-1986	BOUGHTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	AU2379484	08-02-1984	BOUGHTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	ZA8400356	08-29-1984	BOUGHTON	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0835676	04-15-1998	SEGA ENTERPRISES	Cited for Related Interest	Yes
	CA1203738	04-29-1986	CAE ELECTRONICS	Cited for Related Interest	
	DE4004760	08-22-1991	DZHOLDASBEKOV ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP61292734	12-23-1986	HAL LAB INC.	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0295368	12-21-1988	IBM CORP	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP4077335	12-08-1992	NKK CORP	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP63318623	12-27-1991	IBM (US)	Cited for Related Interest	
	DE19803627	08-05-1999	BALTUS RENE	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP9223607	08-26-1997	KOIZUMI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	, .
	WO9522828	08-24-1995	INTERLINK ELECTRONIC	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP663648	07-19-1995	IBM CORP.	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP5151828	06-18-1993	YOKOHAMA RUBBER	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0169624	01-29-1986	ASAHI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	
	GB2159953	12-11-1985	STC PLC	Cited for Related Interest	
	EP0050231	12-06-1983	BOSCH-SIEMENS	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP5326217	10-12-1993	MITSUMI / Furukawa	Cited for Related Interest	
	JP 63-029113	08-16-1989	YAMAHA CORP.	Cited for Related Interest	
			<u> </u>		
L]	

	 	 ·			
	•				
Examiner			Date	•	•
Signature			Considered		

A Modifie	ed	Application Number	09/893,292		
		Filing Date	June 26, 2001		
		First Named Inventor	Brad A. Armstrong		
		Art Unit	. 2673		
		Examiner Name	D. Chow		
	-				
110	D 11: 4:	D 4 4 D 1 1 1 1 1	Delement Information	LIC Class	Danisansk
			Relevent Information	US Class	Previously
	Date	<u>Name</u>			Submitted
Number					
2420284	11/4/1047	EVEDS	Cited for Polated Interest	3/1/187	Yes
					1163
					Yes
					Yes
			<u> </u>		163
					Yes
					Yes
	l				Yes Yes
					
					Yes
4099409	//11/19/8				Yes
					Yes
					Yes
		l			Yes
					Yes
					Yes
4313113	1/26/1982	THORNBURG	Footnote 28 Special Interest		Yes
4314228		EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest		Yes
4314227	2/2/1982	EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest		1
		EVENTOFF	Cited for Related Interest	338	Yes
		FIGOUR	Cited for Related Interest	414/2	Yes
		ASHER	Cited for Related Interest	200/6A	
		VENTURELLO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	73/862.043	Yes
		CHANG ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/2	
		1	Cited for Related Interest	368/29	
					Yes
			Cited for Related Interest	200/6A	
				341/20	Yes
					
				·	Yes
					, <u></u>
		 			
71 21 12 LIDU	3/12/1985	Weinrieb	Cited for Related Interest	273/148	<u> </u>
	4/30/1985	LENTZ	Cited for Related Interest	200/5R	
	US Patent Number 2430284 3296882 3611068 3693425 3710050 3771037 3806471 3921445 3952173 3988556 3993884 4099409 4133012 4158759 4164634 4216467 4224602 4246452 4268815 4276538 4297542 4301337 4313113 4314228 4314227 4315238 4369663 4369663 4369971 4369663 4369971 4369663 4406217 4408103 4419653	US Publication Date 2430284 11/4/1947 3296882 1/10/1967 3611068 10/5/1971 3693425 9/26/1972 3710050 1/9/1973 3771037 11/6/1973 3806471 4/23/1974 3921445 11/25/1975 3952173 4/20/1976 3988556 10/26/1976 3993884 11/23/1976 4099409 7/11/1978 4133012 1/2/1979 4158759 6/19/1979 4164634 8/14/1979 4216467 8/5/1980 4224602 9/23/1980 4246452 1/20/1981 4268815 5/19/1981 4276538 6/30/1981 4297542 10/27/1981 4301337 11/17/1981 4313113 1/26/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314227 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314227 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314227 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314227 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4314227 2/2/1982 4314228 2/2/1982 4349708 9/14/1982 4369663 1/25/1983 4369971 1/25/1983 4369971 1/25/1983 4408103 10/4/1983 4406217 9/27/1983 4408103 10/4/1983 4414537 11/8/1983 4406930 9/4/1984 4499302 12/18/1984 4490587 12/25/1984 4490587 12/25/1984 4490587 12/25/1984 4490587 12/25/1984 4490587 12/25/1984	Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit	Filing Date	First Named Inventor Brad A. Armstrong Examiner Name D. Chow Applicant's File Number F28 Publication Patent D. Chow Applicant's File Number F28 Publication Patent D. Chow Applicant's File Number F28 Publication Patent D. Chow D. Chow Applicant's File Number F28 Publication Patent D. Chow D. Ch

	 <u> </u>	 		tas, ramanasis	
				i	l l
Examiner			Date	i .	· 1
CXAIIIIIII			Date	ł	
Cianatura			Considered	ł.	
Signature	•		Considered	ŀ	,

Examiner Initials	<u>US</u> <u>Patent</u> Number	<u>Date</u>	Patentee or Applicant Name	Relevent Information	US Class	Previously Submitted
			GOBELI	Footnote 26 Special Interest	341/20	
	4546347	10/8/1985	KIRSCH	Cited for Related Interest	345/166	
	4552360	11/12/1985	BROMLEY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/38	Yes
	4555960	12/3/1985	KING	Footnote 1 Special Interest	74/471XY	Yes
	4573682	3/4/1986	Mayon	Cited for Related Interest	273/148	
	4604509		CLÂNCY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	200/513	Yes
	4604502	8/5/1986	Thomas	Footnote 92 Special Interest	200/6A	
	4615252	10/7/1986	YAMAUCHI ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	84/687	Yes
	4630823	12/23/1986	Grant	Cited for Related Interest	273/148	
	4647916	3/3/1987	BOUGHTON	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
	4667271	5/19/1987	WILSON	Cited for Related Interest	361/725	
	4670743	6/2/1987	ZEMKE	Cited for Related Interest	345/157	Yes
	4673919	6/16/1987	KATAOKA	Cited for Related Interest	341/11	Yes
				Footnote 67 Special Interest		Yes
	4684089		LELY	Cited for Related Interest	248/124.1	Yes
			SHIRAI	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	
				Cited for Related Interest	318/568.11	Yes
	4724292			Cited for Related Interest	219/708	<u> </u>
				Cited for Related Interest	219/708	Yes
				Cited for Related Interest	307/119	
				Cited for Related Interest	200/512	Yes
				Cited for Related Interest	345/160	Yes
		3/14/1989	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	73/862.043	Yes
		8/8/1989	BETZ	Cited for Related Interest	336/132	Yes
				Footnote 54 Special Interest		Yes
			SHIMADA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	386/69	Yes
			HASHIMOTO	Cited for Related Interest	360/40	1.00
				Footnote 16 Special Interest		
			BRODSKY		345/161	Yes
			Tano	Footnote 94 Special Interest		. 55
			LEUNG	Footnote 11 Special Interest		Yes
			WISLOCKI	Footnote 10 Special Interest		Yes
			KLEY		345/161	Yes
			DEMAIO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	700/17	1.00
				Cited for Related Interest	338/114	Yes
	5038144			Cited for Related Interest	341/176	1 3 3
				Cited for Related Interest	345/158	Yes
			GARRETT	Footnote 13 Special Interest		Yes
			ENGEL	Cited for Related Interest	200/6A	<u> </u>
	5103404		MCINTOSH	Cited for Related Interest	318/568	Yes
			MONCRIEF ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/36	1
	5128671		·	Cited for Related Interest	341/20	Yes
			DAUENHAUER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	338/92	Yes
				Cited for Related Interest	439/359	Yes
	5142931		MENAHEM	Footnote 7 Special Interest	74/471XY	Yes
			KRAMER	Footnote 58 Special Interest		Yes
			HOUSTON	Cited for Related Interest	324/207	Yes
			SHIBAYAMA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/841.	
	5183998		HOFFMAN ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	219/492	
	5184830		OKADA ETAL		463/29	Yes
			LARKINS ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	318/685	Yes
			D'ALEO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	323/320	Yes

		 	 	. *		
1						
1	Examiner				Date	•
	Signature				Considered	J

Examiner Initials	US Patent Number	<u>Date</u>	Patentee or Applicant Name	Relevent Information	US Class	Previously Submitted
			EASTMAN	Cited for Related Interest	235/455	Yes
			LOPER	Footnote 64 Special Interest		Yes
		5/4/1993	INOUE ET AL	Footnote 20 Special Interest		Yes
		6/29/1993	HILTON	Footnote 88 Special Interest	73/862	
				Footnote 18 Special Interest		Yes
			MAILEY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/167	Yes
			YOSHIDA ETAL	Footnote 15 Special Interest		
			FRANK ETAL	Footnote 3 Special Interest		Yes
			НО		463/37	
			GREGORY ETAL	Footnote 32 Special Interest		
			FISCHER	Cited for Related Interest	74/471XY	
			PARKER	Cited for Related Interest	D14/218	Yes
			STOKES ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	341/34	Yes
			SOGGE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	277/641	
			PARSONS	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	Yes
			WINBLAD	Cited for Related Interest	273/148B	
			SOMA	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Yes
	5294121	3/15/1994	CHIANG	Footnote 52 Special Interest	273/148B	Yes
			CHANG	Cited for Related Interest	345/163	Yes
	5311779	5/17/1994	TERUO	Cited for Related Interest	73/726	Yes
	5313229	5/17/1994	GILLIGAN ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/157	
	5315204	5/24/1994	PARK	Footnote 50 Special Interest	310/339	Yes
	5327201	7/5/1994	COLEMAN ETAL	Footnote 70 Special Interest		
	5329276	7/12/1994	HIRABAYASHI	Cited for Related Interest	340/870.31	Yes
	5333057	7/26/1994	MORIKAWA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	358/296	
	5345807	9/13/1994	BUTTS ETAL	Footnote 73 Special Interest		
	5349371	9/20/1994	FONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/166	
	5355352	10/11/1994	KOBAYASHI ETAL	Footnote 37 Special Interest		Yes
	5364108	11/15/1994	ESNOUF	Cited for Related Interest	368/281	Yes
	5365494	11/15/1994	LYNCH	Footnote 39 Special Interest	368/10	Yes
			PINE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	338/114	Yes
	5386084	1/31/1995	RISKO	Cited for Related Interest	174/52.3	
	5389757	2/14/1995	SOULIERE	Footnote 57 Special Interest		
	5391083	2/21/1995	ROEBUCK ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	174/52.3	Yes
			BRADLEY	Cited for Related Interest	D14/410	Yes
			SMITH, III, ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
			MAESHIMA	Footnote 92 Special Interest		Yes
			OKADA ETAL	Footnote 55 Special Interest		Yes
			MCCUSKER	Footnote 74 Special Interest		
			Wedeking	Cited for Related Interest	297/217	-
			BROWN ETAL	Footnote 83 Special Interest		Yes
			HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	73/862	
			FRANK	Cited for Related Interest	345/158	Yes
					463/37	
			MIMLITCH	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
			BEISWENGER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	368/69	
				Footnote 33 Special Interest		
			GERVAIS	Cited for Related Interest	345/157	
			O'MARA ETAL	Footnote 38 Special Interest		Yes
			Corballis	Footnote 93 Special Interest		
			KWANG-CHIEN	Cited for Related Interest	345/166	<u> </u>
			Harrison	Cited for Related Interest	345/158	

		n .	
	T		
Examiner	Date		
Signature	 Considered	J	

Examiner			Patentee or Applicant	Relevent Information	US Class	Previously
<u>Initials</u>	Patent		<u>Name</u>			<u>Submitted</u>
	<u>Number</u>					
	5530455	6/25/1996	GILLICK ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/163	
				Footnote 34 Special Interest		
		8/6/1996	GANUCHEAU IR ETAI	Footnote 68 Special Interest	340/7.52	-
			HAUGH	Cited for Related Interest	200/5A	Yes
			HASHIGUCHI	Footnote 53 Special Interest		Yes
		9/3/1996	GOTO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	1
			ONO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Yes
			LOGUE	Cited for Related Interest	324/207.17	1.55
			ARMSTRONG	Footnote 90 Special Interest		Yes
			MINELLI ETAL	Footnote 60 Special Interest		Yes
			ARMSTRONG	Footnote 89 Special Interest		Yes
		1/7/1997	HILTON	Cited for Related Interest	73/862	
			KATO	Cited for Related Interest	345/158	
		2/25/1997	REGISTER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	455/556.2	Yes
		3/4/1997	Chan	Cited for Related Interest	273/148B	
			Burnett	Cited for Related Interest	361/686	
			VICTOR ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	717/113	Yes
		7/1/1997	DATE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	200/5R	1.55
			ZEITMAN	Cited for Related Interest	D14/162	
		8/12/1997	LIAO	Cited for Related Interest	345/163	
			YANIGER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
		8/30/1997	NESTOR	Cited for Related Interest	200/556	
		9/23/1997	THORN ETAL		341/34	Yes
		9/23/1997	TICKLE	Cited for Related Interest	345/157	Yes
		9/23/1997	THORNER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/30	Yes
		9/30/1997	BLANK	Cited for Related Interest	368/10	Yes
		10/7/1997	BARKER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	341/22	Yes
			DEVOLPI	Cited for Related Interest	338/68	
			ANDERSON	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	-
			HUANG ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	368/10	
			HOYT ETAL		700/85	
			ASHER	Footnote 30 Special Interest		Yes
			HILTON ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	100
	5704612		KELLY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	273/402	<u> </u>
			GOTO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	
		4/14/1998	OHKUBO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	273/148B	
		5/12/1998	COUCH ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	273/148B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		6/9/1998	RUTLEDGE ET AL	Footnote 21 Special Interest	345/159	Yes
		6/16/1998	SELKER	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
			ROSENBERG	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
		<u> </u>	SELKER	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
			WINKSY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/685	
			CAPPS ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	715/531	Yes
		7/14/1998	GLASSGOLD ETAL	Footnote 79 Special Interest	396/71	
		8/4/1998	NASSIMA		345/163	Yes
		9/8/1998	BRAWNE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
		9/22/1998	LOOP	Footnote 35 Special Interest		
		9/29/1998	YOSHIKAWA	Cited for Related Interest	345/157	
			YANIGER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
			MARSHALL ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
		11/3/1998		Cited for Related Interest	73/862.05	
			KAMENTSER ETAK			
	4/80/64	11/22/1998	Padula et al	Footnote ?? Special Interest	11/0/19	<u> </u>

	 <u> </u>			
		•		
Examiner			Date	
Signature			Considered	<u></u>

Examiner Initials	<u>US</u> Patent Number		Patentee or Applicant Name	Relevent Information	US Class	Previously Submitted
			YOSHIKAWA ETAL	Footnote 45 Special Interest		Yes
			YANIGER	Cited for Related Interest	338/99	Yes
			REDFORD ETAL	Footnote 31 Special Interest		
			REAVY ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/173	
			GRANT	Cited for Related Interest	345/169	Yes
			GOTO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	
			BRANNON	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
	5867808		SELKER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	702/41	Yes
			LOPATUKIN ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	340/7.52	
			HO ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	345/163	Yes
		3/30/1999	GILLESPIE ET AL	Cited for Related Interest	178/18.01	Yes
			ENGLE ETAL	Footnote 17 Special Interest		Yes
			GILLESPIE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	187/18.01	
			KING ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	707/104.1	Yes
			ELLIS	Cited for Related Interest	338/47	Yes
			SEKINE	Footnote 19 Special Interest		
	5909207		НО		345/156	
	5910798		KIM	Footnote 27 Special Interest		Yes
	5910882			Footnote 76 Special Interest		
					368/83	
				Footnote 36 Special Interest		Yes
			BEUK ETAL		340/825	\
			MARTINELLI ETAL	Footnote 25 Special Interest		Yes
				Footnote 44 Special Interest		Yes
				Cited for Related Interest	200/6A	-V
				Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Yes
				Cited for Related Interest Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Voc
					709/248	Yes
				Footnote 78 Special Interest	345/157	
					463/38	Yes
					434/317	162
				Footnote 26 Special Interest		Yes
			TANIGAWA ETAL		360/90	165
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		338/114	Yes
			LADUE	Footnote 49 Special Interest		Yes
				Footnote 66 Special Interest		Yes
					463/36	163
					345/747	Yes
		_		Footnote 56 Special Interest		103
			MCMAHON		345/169	
			FRANZ ETAL		345/159	Yes
			BERTRAM ETAL	Footnote 29 Special Interest		Yes
			ROCKWELL ETAL		345/784	
	6059660		TAKADA ETAL		463/38	
	6060701		MCKEE ETAL		219/681	
			SKLAREW	Cited for Related Interest	382/189	
			DEVOLPI	Cited for Related Interest	338/47	
				Cited for Related Interest	73/862.68	
	6072469			Cited for Related Interest	345/157	
	6073034				455/566	
					463/37	Yes

		•			<u> </u>	
			·	1		
Examiner					Date	
Signature	<u> </u>				Considered	J

Examiner			Patentee or Applicant	Relevent Information	US Class	Previously
<u>Initials</u>	<u>Patent</u>		<u>Name</u>			Submitted
	<u>Number</u>					
	6112014	0/20/2000	MANE	Footpote 40 Chapiel Interest	250/1 16	
			KANE POWELL	Footnote 40 Special Interest		Yes
				Footnote 46 Special Interest	345/157	165
			TODA ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	Yes
			ARMSTRONG DATE ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	200/339	res
			TRAN ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	715/503	Yes
			BATES ETAL	Cited for Related Interest Cited for Related Interest	345/786	1162
			YAMAGISHI ETAL	1	455/566	
			KUNERT		345/173	
	6185158		ADMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	368/37	
	6198473		ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/163	Yes
	6198948		SUDO ETAL	Footnote 43 Special Interest		Yes
	6198472		LECTION ETAL ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Vaa
		3/27/2001		Cited for Related Interest	341/34	Yes
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	Yes
			GOTO ETAL	Footnote 47 Special Interest		Yes
			BURRY ETAL		345/161	1
	6256011			Footnote 63 Special Interest		Yes
				Footnote 72 Special Interest		
					219/681	<u> </u>
				Cited for Related Interest	338/47	ļ
	6285356			Cited for Related Interest	345/167	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/161	
				Footnote 69 Special Interest		
				Footnote 65 Special Interest		Yes
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	_
	6344791		ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	338/114	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	463/37	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	338/114	
			SOMA ETAL		463/36	Yes
	6400303		ARMSTRONG		341/176	
					99/280	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	360/88	
	6422941		THORNER ETAL	Cited for Related Interest	463/30	Yes
					345/159	
				Cited for Related Interest	386/46	
				Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	379/93.19	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
					463/37	Yes
				Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	345/159	1
			ARMSTRONG	Cited for Related Interest	338/47	
1			TREMBLAY ET AL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	
	0075040	8/14/2001	TREMBLAY ET AL	Cited for Related Interest	345/156	1

	 <u> </u>		
	,		1
Examiner		Date	· 1
Signature		Considered)

Application Number: 09/893,292 Filing Date: June 26, 2001 First Named Unit: Brad A. Armstrong Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Publication Pub. Date Inventor. Assignee US 2002/00366 3/28/2002 Adan et al 55-Special Interest US 2002/00366 Adan et al 95-Special Interest										
Filing Date: June 26, 2001 First Named Unit: Brad A Armstrong Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Publication Initials US 2002/00366 60 US 2002/00366 60 Adan et al Footnote 95Special Interest							Ĺ			
Filing Date: June 26, 2001 First Named Unit: Brad A Armstrong Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Publication Initials US 2002/00366 60 US 2002/00366 60 Adan et al Footnote 95Special Interest		Application N	lumber: 09/	893,292				1		
First Named Unit: Brad A. Armstrong Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Initials Publication Number Publication Number Publication Assignee Previously Submitted in this Application US 2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95-Special Interest		Filing Date:	June 26 20	01			Т	1	1	
Group Art Unit: 2673 Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Initials Publication Number Publication Number Publication Or Assignee US 2002/00366 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95-Special Interest Adan et al 95-Special Interest	, 	First Named	Unit: Brad	Δ Armetron	<u>. </u>	 	-	 	 	
Examiner's Name: D. Chow Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Publication Number Initials US 2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al Footnote 95—Special Interest Footnote 95—Special Interest		Croup Art Lin	St. 2672	T. Allision	Y		-		ļ	
Applicant File Number: F28 Examiner Initials		Group Art Or	111. 20/3				-		ļ	ļ——
Examiner Initials Number Pub. Date Inventor or Assignee Data in Footnote 2002/00366 60 Adan et al 95Special Interest Interest Previously, Submitted in this Application Proviously Submitted in this Application Adam et al 95Special Interest							_			
Initials Number		Applicant File	e Number: I	F28						
Initials Number										
Initials Number	Examiner	Publication	Pub. Date	Inventor	Additional	Previously	Т			
Assignee Footnote this Application US 2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95Special Interest	Initials	Number			Data in	Submitted in				
US 2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95Special Interest	1111110				Footpoto	thic		1		
US 2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al Footnote 95Special Interest				Assignee	1 Ootilote					
2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95Special Interest						Application	<u> </u>	ļ		
2002/00366 60 3/28/2002 Adan et al 95Special Interest					· ·		Ш		ļ	
60 Interest		US								
60 Interest	į,	2002/00366	3/28/2002	Adan et al	95Special					
				l	Interest					l
									1	
					1				i	
							_		 	-
							_			
			<u></u>		ļ				<u> </u>	ļ
							-			
							_		l I	
							_			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>		_			
								,		
							_			
							_			
										
Company							_			
	i									
							-	· · ·		
							_			
									,	
							_			
							-			
							_]			
	 †							····		
							٦			
							_			

	 	 		<u> </u>	
$\overline{}$	 	 			
Examiner			Date	*	
Signature			Considered		

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

		т				
	Application Number: 09/893,	292				
	Filing Date: June 26, 2001				ĺ	
	First Named Unit: Brad A. Ar	metrona				
	Group Art Unit: 2673	I			-	
	Examiner's Name: D. Chow					
	Applicant File Number: F28					
l						
EXAMINER	NON PATENT	Additional	Previously			,
INITIALS	LITERATURE	Data in	Submitted			
1	DOCUMENTS AND	Footnote				
	OTHERS REFERENCES	<u>i ootiiote</u>				
	OTHERS REFERENCES		ļ			
	Mouse Ball-Actuating Device	Footnote 2				
i	with Force and Tactile	Special				
	Feedback", IBM Disclosure	Interest				
	Bulletin, vl 32, No. 9B, Feb.					
	1990, pp. 230-235		Yes			
	Research Disclosures, vol.		1.00			
	283, Nov. 1987 (USA)					
	"Joystick with Tactile		.			
	Feedback"		Yes			
		Footnote 12	1			
		Special				
	Advanced Teleoperation" KV	Interest				
	Siva, Harwell Laboratory, UK.					
	July 1988					
		Footnote 9				
	Controller by Logitech Inc. of					
		Interest				
	Provided herewith is a two	interest				
	page advertisement flyer;	,				
	detailed photographs and a		[
	description of the					
	photographs in the					
1	Information Disclosure					
	Statement included herewith.					
		Footnote 22				
	with Stroke and Feedback	Special				
	Enhancement Using	Interest				
	Vertically Conducting					
	Elastomer In a Laterally					
	Conducting Mode", IBM					
	Technical Disclosure			-		
	Bulletin, Volume 20, No. 5,					
	October 1977, pp.		 			
L	1833-1834		Yes	L <u> </u>		

				<u> </u>
	 	• • • •		
Examiner			Date	•
Signature			Considered	

					r	1	<u> </u>
EXAMINER		<u>Additional</u>	Previously				
INITIALS	LITERATURE	<u>Data in</u>	<u>Submitted</u>		1		
	DOCUMENTS AND	Footnote				1	
	OTHERS REFERENCES						
	A manual titled: Universal						
I I	Serial Bus (USB), Device			ł	İ		
	Class Definition For Human						
	Devices, Firmware						
	Specification-Oct. 14, 1998,						
	Version 1.1 draft which was						
	printed on the Internet site of						
	www.usb.org in Nov. 1998						
	Search results titled						
	Questel-Orbit QWEB dated						
	December 1999, pages 1-24						
	having short descriptions /						
	abstracts thereon are					•	
	submitted herewith by						
	Applicant for study.		Yes				
	A hand held controller for						
	video games by Namco Co.		1				
1	has a button to drive a gear						
	and rotate a rotary]				
	potentiometer which creates						
	an analog signal change						
	based on positional change;						
	to be considered prior art to						
	some of Applicant's claims.						
	Photographs and a written						
	description is provided in the		<u> </u>				
	Information Disclosure						
	Statement.	•					
	Flightstck Pro" by CH						
	Products, San Marcos,						
	Califonia USA, a joystick						
	which uses a gimbal and						
	rotary potentiometers, the						
	joystick is prior art sold in						
	stores.					<u> </u>	
	Known prior art are rotary						
	operated potentiometers						·
	which have an Off position						
	usually in the far]				
	counterclockwise direction of]	.			
	rotation and an audible		1				
	"click" is provided when						
1	rotated in and out of the Off						
	position. Such						
	potentiometers are variable						
	output electrical devices						
	controlled by rotation.						
			 				
L		.	I	l	L		

$\overline{}$	 	 		
Examiner			Date	•
Signature			Considered	

Footnotes - References of Special Interest

Re: Patent Application of Brad A. Armstrong

Applicant's reference F28

Filed: 06/26/01

Serial No.: 09/893,292

Title: IMAGE CONTROLLER

Applicant's mailing address: Brad A. Armstrong

Brad A. Armstron PO Box 1419

Paradise, CA 95967

Examiner: D. Chow

Group Art Unit: 2673

Footnotes submitted as a part of the Information Disclosure Statement

Footnote Numbers

- Inventor King, US Patent Number 4555960 published on 12/3/1985 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application serial number 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 5/17/1994. In that Office Action on pages 9-14 Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in sections 5-6 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-10.
- Inventor King, US Patent Number 4555960 published on 12/3/1985 was relied upon against applicant's patent application serial number 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 9/28/1994. In that Office Action on pages 6-11Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-8.
- 1 Inventor King, US Patent Number 4555960 published on 12/3/1985 was relied upon against applicant's patent application serial number 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 5/11/1995. In that Office Action on pages 11-17 Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11.
- Inventor King, US Patent Number 4555960 published on 12/3/1985 was relied upon against applicant's patent application serial number 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action on pages 17-32 Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 9 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 11-17.
- The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol. 32 No. 9B "Mouse Ball-Actuating Device With Force and Tactile Feedback" pages 230-235 published 2/1/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 5/17/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-10 on pages 10-13.

- The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol. 32 No. 9B "Mouse Ball-Actuating Device With Force and Tactile Feedback" pages 230-235 published 2/1/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 9/28/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-8 on pages 6-11.
- The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol. 32 No. 9B "Mouse Ball-Actuating Device With Force and Tactile Feedback" pages 230-235 published 2/1/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 5/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 on pages 11-17.
- The IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin Vol. 32 No. 9B "Mouse Ball-Actuating Device With Force and Tactile Feedback" pages 230-235 published 2/1/1990 was relied against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in the Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 11-15 on pages 20-29.
- Inventors Frank et at in US Patent 5252952 issued 10/1/1993 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 5/17/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-10 on pages 12-13.
- 3 Inventors Frank et at in US Patent 5252952 issued 10/1/1993 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 9/28/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-8 on pages 6-11.
- Inventors Frank et at in US Patent 5252952 issued 10/1/1993 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 5/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 on pages 11-17.
- Inventors Frank et at in US Patent 5252952 issued 10/1/1993 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 14-17 on pages 24-32.
- 4 Patent document No. EP0205726 of Nakamura published 12/30/1986 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 5/17/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10 on pages 13-14.

- Inventor Kley, US Patent4935728 issued 6/1/1990 was releid upon against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 9/28/1994. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7-8 on pages 6-11.
- Inventor Kley, US Patent4935728 issued 6/1/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application No. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 14-17 on pages 24-32.
- Inventors Dzholdasbekov et al, patent document GB2240614 published Aug. 7,1991 was relied upon against applicant's application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 5/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10-11 on pages 11-17.
- Inventors Dzholdasbekov et al, patent document GB2240614 published Aug. 7,1991 was relied upon against applicant's application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 08/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in sections 7-8 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 1, 13 on pages 20-32.
- Inventor Menahem, US Patent 5142931 issued 9/1/1992 was relied upon against applicant's patent application 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 14-17 on pages 24-32.
- 8 Inventor Thomas, Jr., US Patent 5128671 issued 7/7/1992 was relied upon against applicant's application no. 07/847619 in an Office Action dated 8/10/1995. In that Office Action Examiner A. Hill asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 16-17 on pages 29-32.
- The product "Cyberman" is a controller sold to the public in 1993 by Logitech and which was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application no. 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 7/5/1995. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 3 pages 2-4. Also, the resultant Patent from application no. 08/393459 listed the product as "Gyberman" instead of the correct name of Cyberman.
- Inventor Wislocki, US Patent 4933670 issued 6/12/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 7/5/1995 In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 3 pages 2-4.

- Inventor Wislocki, US Patent 4933670 issued 6/12/1990 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 12/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-6 pages 2-5.
- Inventor Leung, US Patent 4924216 issued 5/8/1990was relied upon against application application no. 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 12/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 page 4.
- The article "Development of a General Purpose Hand Controller for Advanced Teleoperation", KV Siva, Harwell Laboratory, UK, July1988 was relied upon against applicant's patent application no. 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 12/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-6 pages 2-5.
- Inventor Garrett, US Patent 5065146 issued 11/12/1991 was relied upon against applicant's patent application 08/393459 in an Office Action dated 12/11/1995. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 6 pages 4-5.
- Inventors Hoyt et al, US Patent 5687080 issued 11/11/997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application No. 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 3/23/1998. In that Office Action Examiner J. Suraci asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 2 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 pages 1-2.
- Inventors Hoyt et al, US Patent 5687080 issued 11/11/997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application No. 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 6/26/1998. In that Office Action Examiner J. Suraci asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 4 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 6 pages 3-4.
- Inventors Hoyt et al, US Patent 5687080 issued 11/11/997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application No. 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 9/30/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 9 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 14 pages 5-6.
- Inventors Hoyt et al, US Patent 5687080 issued 11/11/997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application No. 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2000. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 page 3.

- Inventors Hoyt et al, US Patent 5687080 issued 11/11/997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application No. 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 8/31/2000. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 12-13 pages 5-6.
- Inventors Yoshida et al, US Patent 5250930 issued 10/5/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 3/23/1998. In that Office Action Examiner J. Suraci asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 page 2.
- Inventors Yoshida et al, US Patent 5250930 issued 10/5/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 6/26/1998. In that Office Action Examiner J. Suraci asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 6 page 4.
- Inventors Yoshida et al, US Patent 5250930 issued 10/5/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 9/30/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 14 page 6.
- Inventors Yoshida et al, US Patent 5250930 issued 10/5/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2000. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 page 3.
- Inventor Duimel, US Patent 4879556 issued 11/7/1989 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 9/30/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 10 page 5.
- 17 Inventors Engle et al, US Patent 5889507 issued 3/30/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 9/30/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- 17 Inventors Engle et al, US Patent 5889507 issued 3/30/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 10/042,027 in an Office Action dated 12/4/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2-3 pages 2-4.
- Inventors Brandenburg et al, US Patent 5231386 issued 7/24/1990 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 9/30/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 12 page 6.

- Inventors Brandenburg et al, US Patent 5231386 issued 7/24/1990 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 8/31/2000. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 7 and a 35 USC rejection in sections 10, 12 pages 4-6.
- Inventor Sekine, US Patent 5898425 issued 4/27/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/677378 in an Office Action dated 8/31/2000. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 8 and a 35 USC rejection in sections 11, 13 pages 4-6.
- Inventor Sekine, US Patent 5898425 issued 4/27/1999 was relied upon as a PCT "X" reference (lack of novelty indicated by "X") against applicant's PCT application NO. PCT/US99/28913 in a report dated April 19, 2002 by Examiner J. Brier.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No.08/942450 in an Office Action dated 8/18/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2 pages 2-3.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No. 10/164684 in an Office Action dated 2/6/2003. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2 pages 2-3.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No. 09/510572 in an Office Action dated 2/13/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 pages 3-4.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No. 10/042027 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 pages 2-4.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No. 10/042027 in an Office Action dated 12/4/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-3 pages 2-4.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon against Applicant's US Patent application No. 09/892430 in an Office Action dated 11/7/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 page 3.

- Inventors Inoue et al, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against Applicant's PCT application No. PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated Sept. 13, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso.
- Inventors Inoue et at, US Patent 5207426 issued 5/4/1993 was relied upon as a PCT "y" reference (used in obviousness) against Applicant's PCT application No. PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated March 15, 2000 by Examiner L. Libberecth.
- Inventors Rutledge et al, US Patent 5764219 issued 6/9/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 08/942450 in an Office Action dated 8/18/1999. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2 pages 2-3.
- Inventors Rutledge et al, US Patent 5764219 issued 6/9/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 10/164684 in an Office Action dated 2/6/2003. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2 pages 2-3.
- Inventors Rutledge et al, US Patent 5764219 issued 6/9/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/892430 in an Office Action dated 11/7/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 page 3.
- Inventors Rutledge et al, US Patent 5764219 issued 6/9/1998 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated Sept. 13, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso.
- The article "Keyboard Switch with Stroke and Feedback Enhancement Using Vertically Conducting Elastomer in a Laterally Conducting Mode" by Kambic, IBM Technical Disclosure Vol. 20, No. 5, pages 1833-1834, (October 1977) was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/106825 in an Office Action dated 4/26/1999. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 3 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 pages 2-3.
- The article "Keyboard Switch with Stroke and Feedback Enhancement Using Vertically Conducting Elastomer in a Laterally Conducting Mode" by Kambic, IBM Technical Disclosure Vol. 20, No. 5, pages 1833-1834, (October 1977) was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/106825 in an Office Action dated 6/24/1999. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 2 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 page 2.

- The article "Keyboard Switch with Stroke and Feedback Enhancement Using Vertically Conducting Elastomer in a Laterally Conducting Mode" by Kambic, IBM Technical Disclosure Vol. 20, No. 5, pages 1833-1834, (October 1977) was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/455821 in an Office Action dated 4/19/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 5 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 pages 3-4.
- The article "Keyboard Switch with Stroke and Feedback Enhancement Using Vertically Conducting Elastomer in a Laterally Conducting Mode" by Kambic, IBM Technical Disclosure Vol. 20, No. 5, pages 1833-1834, (October 1977) was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/710557 in an Office Action dated 5/20/2002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 3 page 3.
- Inventor Fujita, US Patent 3611068 issued 10/5/1971 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/106825 in an Office Action dated 4/26/1999. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 pages 2-3.
- Inventor Fujita, US Patent 3611068 issued 10/5/1971 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/106825 in an Office Action dated 6/24/1999. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 page 2.
- Inventors Thorne et al, US Patent 5670955 issued 9/23/1997 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/148806 in an Office Action dated 5/24/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Edwards Jr. asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 pages 2-12.
- Inventors Martinelli et al, US Patent 5943044 issued 8/24/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/148806 in an Office Action dated 5/24/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Edwards Jr. asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 3-4 pages 8-12.
- Inventor Sellers, US Patent 5995026 issued 11/30/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/148806 in an Office Action dated 5/24/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Edwards Jr. asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4 pages 11-12.
- Inventor Kim, US Patent 5910798 issued 6/8/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 6/20/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-6 pages 2-3.

- Inventor Kim, US Patent 5910798 issued 6/8/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 8/30/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-9 pages 2-4.
- Inventor Thornburg, US Patent 4313113 issued 1/19/1982 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 6/20/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-6 pages 2-3.
- Inventor Thornburg, US Patent 4313113 issued 1/19/1982 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 8/30/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-9 pages 2-4.
- Inventor Thornburg, US Patent 4313113 issued 1/19/1982 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/563109 in an Office Action dated 10/3/2002. In that Office Action Examiner H. Dang asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-7 pages 2-8.
- Inventor Thornburg, US Patent 4313113 issued 1/19/1982 was relied upon as a PCT "X" reference (lack of novelty indicated by "X") and also as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application NO. PCT/US00/12840 in a report dated October 13, 2000 by Examiner K. Wieder...
- Inventors Bertram et al, US Patent 6049812 issued 4/11/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 6/20/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-7 page 3.
- Inventors Bertram et al, US Patent 6049812 issued 4/11/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application 09/167314 in an Office Action dated 8/30/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 8-9 page 4.
- Inventor Asher, US Patent 5689285 issued 11/18/1997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/253263 in an Office Action dated 10/4/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Mengisteab asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-7 pages 2-6.
- Inventor Asher, US Patent 5689285 issued 11/18/1997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/510572 in an Office Action dated 2/13/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 pages 3-4.

- Inventor Asher, US Patent 5689285 issued 11/18/1997 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/941310 in an Office Action dated 4/8/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Jankus asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 4 pages 2-3.
- Inventor Asher, US Patent 5689285 issued 11/18/1997 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application NO. PCT/US00/33253 in a report dated April 11, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso.
- Inventor Asher, US Patent 5689285 issued 11/18/1997 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application NO. PCT/US00/33397 in a report dated April 19, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso
- Inventor Redford, US Patent 5847694 issued 12/8/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/253263 in an Office Action dated 10/4/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Mengisteab asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 page 4.
- Inventors Gregory et al, US Patent 5264768 issued 11/23/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/253263 in an Office Action dated 10/4/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Mengisteab asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 6 pages 4-5.
- Inventors Brandenburg et al, US Patent 5499041 issued 3/12/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/253263 in an Office Action dated 10/4/2000. In that Office Action Examiner T. Mengisteab asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 pages 5-6.
- Inventors Engle et al, US Patent 5541622 issued 7/30/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/253263 in an Office Action dated 3/272001. In that Office Action Examiner A. Jankus asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 2 pages 2-4.
- Inventor Loop, US Patent 5812114 issued 9/22/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/566678 in an Office Action wherein Examiner C. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 2 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 pages 2-4.
- Inventors Sayler et al, US Patent 5923317 issued 7/13/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/566678 in an Office Action wherein Examiner C. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 pages 3-4.

- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 8-16 pages 4-11.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/2/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-7 pages 3-4.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/12/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 5-7 pages 3-5.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699799 in an Office Action dated 10/3/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-8 pages 4-6.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- 37 Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/22/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.

- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699854 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699655 in an Office Action dated 5/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699826 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702091 in an Office Action dated 2/28/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699816 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733435 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-11 pages 4-7.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733586 in an Office Action dated 9/212001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 14-16 pages 4-7.
- 37 Inventors Kobayashi et al, US Patent 5355352 issued 10/11/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733437 in an Office Action dated 12/18/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-13 pages 5-7.

- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 8-16 pages 4-11.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/2/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-7 pages 3-4.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/12/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 page 5.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699817 in an Office Action dated 11/30/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699799 in an Office Action dated 10/3/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 7-8 pages 4-6.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/22/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4, 6 pages 3-5.

- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699854 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699655 in an Office Action dated 5/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699826 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702091 in an Office Action dated 2/28/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 4-6
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699816 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-12 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733435 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-11 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 4/24/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-11 pages 4-6.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733469 in an Office Action dated 5/23/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 9-11 pages 4-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733586 in an Office Action dated 9/21/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 14-16 pages 4-7.

- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733437 in an Office Action dated 12/18/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-13 pages 5-7.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application No. PCT/US00/33253 in an Office Action dated April 11, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso.
- Inventors O'Mara et al, US Patent 5510812 issued 4/23/1996 was relied upon as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) against applicant's PCT application No. PCT/US00/33397 in an Office Action dated April 19, 2001 by Examiner J. Paradiso.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 9 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/2/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 page 4.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699817 in an Office Action dated 11/30/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699799 in an Office Action dated 10/3/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 8 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.

- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699854 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699655 in an Office Action dated 5/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699826 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702091 in an Office Action dated 2/28/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699816 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 11-12 pages 6-7.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733435 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 6-7.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 4/24/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 pages 5-6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733469 in an Office Action dated 5/23/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10 page 6.

- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733586 in an Office Action dated 9/21/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 15 page 6.
- Inventor Lynch, US Patent 5365494 issued 11/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733437 in an Office Action dated 12/18/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventor Kane, US Patent 6112014 issued 8/29/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10 page 7.
- Inventor Mason, US Patent 4158759 issued 6/19/1979 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 pages 7-8.
- Inventor Mason, US Patent 4158759 issued 6/19/1979 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733435 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 7.
- Inventor Oota, US Patent 4406217 issued 9/27/1983 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page 8.
- Inventor Oota, US Patent 4406217 issued 9/27/1983 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699816 in an Office Action dated 4/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page 7.
- Inventor Oota, US Patent 4406217 issued 9/27/1983 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 4/24/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 page 6.
- Inventors Sudo et al, US Patent 6198948 issued 3/6/2001was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 13 pages 8-9.

- Inventors Sudo et al, US Patent 6198948 issued 3/6/2001was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/600655 in an Office Action dated 5/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page 7.
- Inventors Whalen et al, US Patent 5948066 issued 9/7/1999was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 14 page 9.
- Inventors Whalen et al, US Patent 5948066 issued 9/7/1999was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699854 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page7.
- Inventors Yoshikawa et al, US Patent 5847305 issued 12/8/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 15 page 10.
- Inventors Yoshikawa et al, US Patent 5847305 issued 12/8/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page 7.
- Inventors Yoshikawa et al, US Patent 5847305 issued 12/8/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/22/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 3-6 pages 2-5.
- Inventor Powell, US Patent 6118979 issued 9/12/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/568662 in an Office Action dated 3/15/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 16 pages 10-11.
- Inventor Powell, US Patent 6118979 issued 9/12/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 page 7.
- Inventors Goto et al, US Patent 6231444 issued 5/15/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2001. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection on page 4.

- Inventors Goto et al, US Patent 6231444 issued 5/15/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/627564 in an Office Action dated 9/26/2001. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection on page 4.
- Inventors Goto et al, US Patent 6231444 issued 5/15/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US Patent application 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 5/20/2002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 on pages 4-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-5 on pages 3-4.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/12/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 5-7 on pages 3-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 1/17/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-5, 7 on pages 3-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/22/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 3-6 on pages 2-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection and a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 2-10.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection and a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 3-6.

- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 8/27/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 4-6.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/955838 in an Office Action dated 5/3/2002. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 5 and a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 4-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/955838 in an Office Action dated 7/12/2002. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 6 on page 4.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/042027 in an Office Action dated 3/14/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 3-4 on pages 2-4.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/042027 in an Office Action dated 12/4/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-3 on pages 2-4.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/896680 in an Office Action dated 7/31/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection and a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 2-5.
- Unexamined Japanese patent document No. JP 5-87760 naming Furukawa as Inventor published 11/26/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/329142 in an Office Action dated 6/12/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Jones asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10 on pages 5-9.

- Inventor LaDue, US Patent 5999808 issued 12/7/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection on pages 3-4.
- Inventor Park, US Patent 5315204 issued 5/24/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 5-7.
- Inventor Park, US Patent 5315204 issued 5/24/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 3.
- Inventor Park, US Patent 5315204 issued 5/24/1994 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28914 in an Office Action dated April 26, 2000. In that Action Park was relied upon as a PCT "X" reference (lack of novelty indicated by "X") and also as a PCT "Y" reference (used in obviousness) by Examiner M. Zambuto.
- Inventor Okada, US Patent 5184830 issued 2/9/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 7.
- Inventor Okada, US Patent 5184830 issued 2/9/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 3.
- Inventor Okada, US Patent 5184830 issued 2/9/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 8/27/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 2-6.
- Inventor Chiang, US Patent 5294121 issued 3/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 7-8.
- Inventor Chiang, US Patent 5294121 issued 3/15/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 4.

- Inventor Hasiguchi, US Patent 5552799 issued 9/3/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 8-9.
- Inventor Hasiguchi, US Patent 5552799 issued 9/3/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 4.
- Inventor Sato, US Patent 4858930 issued 8/22/1989 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 1/9/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 8-9.
- Inventor Sato, US Patent 4858930 issued 8/22/1989 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 4.
- Inventors Okada et al, US Patent 5396225 issued 3/7/1995 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 9/25/2002. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 5-6.
- Inventor Hahn, US Patent 6027828 issued 2/22/2000 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 8/27/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 2-6.
- Inventor Souliere, US Patent 5389757 issued 2/14/1995 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/551513 in an Office Action dated 8/27/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Ashburn asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on page 6.
- Inventor Kramer, US Patent 5164697 issued 11/17/1992 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/455821in an Office Action dated 4/19/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 3-4.
- Inventor Kramer, US Patent 5164697 issued 11/17/1992 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/455821in an Office Action dated 5/3/2002. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 5 and also a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 4-5.

- Inventors Murata et al, GB patent document No. GB 2113920 published 8/10/1983 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/455821in an Office Action dated 4/19/2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Easthom asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 3-4.
- Inventors Minelli et al, US Patent 5564560 issued 10/15/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/042027in an Office Action dated 3/14/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 on page 4.
- Inventors Minelli et al, US Patent 5564560 issued 10/15/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/042027in an Office Action dated 12/4/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 3 on page 4.
- Inventors Minelli et al, US Patent 5564560 issued 10/15/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 on page 4.
- Inventors Minelli et al, US Patent 5564560 issued 10/15/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699926 in an Office Action dated 3/12/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-70n pages 4-5.
- Inventors Minelli et al, US Patent 5564560 issued 10/15/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699809 in an Office Action dated 3/22/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 5-6 on pages 4-5.
- Japanese unexamined patent document No. JP 7-302159 published 11/14/1995 naming Inventors Terajima et al was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/896680 in an Office Action dated 7/31/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 4-5.
- Japanese unexamined patent document No. JP 7-302159 published 11/14/1995 naming Inventors Terajima et al was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 10/329142 in an Office Action dated 6/12/2003. In that Office Action Examiner S. Jones asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 10 on pages 5-9.

- Inventor Chandler, US Patent 4246452 issued 1/20/1981 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 12/5/2001. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 on pages 2-4.
- Inventor Chandler, US Patent 4246452 issued 1/20/1981 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 5/202002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 on pages 2-4.
- Inventor Culver, US Patent 6256011 issued 7/3/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 12/5/2001. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 on pages 2-4.
- Inventor Culver, US Patent 6256011 issued 7/3/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 5/20/2002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-4 on pages 2-4.
- Inventor Loper, US Patent 5203563 issued 4/20/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 12/5/2001. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 6 and a 35 USC 103 in section 7 on pages 4-5.
- Inventor Loper, US Patent 5203563 issued 4/20/1993 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/710557 in an Office Action dated 12/4/2001. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 4 and a 35 USC 103 in sections 2,5 on pages 2-4.
- Inventors Kobachi et al, US Patent 6326948 issued 12/4/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/721848 in an Office Action dated 5/20/2002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 on pages 4-5.
- Inventors Ogata et al, US Patent 6001014 issued 12/14/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/710557 in an Office Action dated 5/20/2002. In that Office Action Examiner D. Chow asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 2-3 on pages 2-3.
- Inventors Straayer et al, US Patent 4680577 issued 7/14/1987 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/941310 in an Office Action dated 4/8/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Jankus asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 3 on pages 2-3.

- Inventors Ganucheau et al, US Patent 5543781 issued 8/6/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-5 on pages 3-4.
- Inventors DeLorme et al, US Patent 6321158 issued 11/20/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702176 in an Office Action dated 3/13/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 4 on page 3.
- Inventors DeLorme et al, US Patent 6321158 issued 11/20/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 1/17/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 4-5, 7 on pages 3-5.
- Inventors Coleman et al, US Patent 5327201 issued 7/5/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699817 in an Office Action dated 11/30/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 10-11 on pages 4-6.
- Inventor Kunert, US Patent 6177926 issued 1/23/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/699853 in an Office Action dated 11/17/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 5 on page 4.
- Inventors McKee et al, US Patent 6262406 issued 7/17/2001 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702239 in an Office Action dated 12/1/2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 10 on page 4.
- Inventors Butts et al, US Patent 5345807 issued 9/13/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/702239 in an Office Action dated 7/30/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection and also a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 2-3.
- Inventors Butts et al, US Patent 5345807 issued 9/13/1994 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 8/26/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection and also a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 3-4.

- Inventor McCusker, US Patent 5399823 issued 3/21/1995 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 8/26/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 3-4.
- Inventors Kondur et al, US Patent 3993884 issued 11/23/1976 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733468 in an Office Action dated 8/26/2003. In that Office Action Examiner A. Enatsky asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection on pages 3-4.
- Inventor Burrell, US Patent 5910882 issued 6/8/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733469 in an Office Action dated 5/23/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 11 on page 7.
- Inventors Takamiya et al, US Patent 4133012 issued 1/2/1979 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733586 in an Office Action dated 9/21/2001. In that Office Action Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 16 on page 7.
- Inventors Shaw et al, US Patent 5983004 issued 11/9/1999 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/733437 in an Office Action dated 12/18/2001. In that Office Examiner Action J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 12 on page 7.
- Inventors Glassgold et al, US Patent 5781807 issued 7/14/1998 was relied upon against applicant's US application no. 09/733437 in an Office Action dated 12/18/2001. In that Office Examiner J. Paradiso asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 13 on page 7.
- Inventor Mitchell, US Patent 3806471 issued 4/23/1974 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated March 15, 2000. In that Office Examiner L. Libberecth asserted that the US Patent 3806471 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Assignee Nintendo, patent document EP0470615 published was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated March 15, 2000. In that Office Examiner L. Libberecth asserted that the EP0470615 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Assignee Thomson Brandt, patent document DE3542890 published June 19, 1987 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28654 in an Office Action dated March

- 15, 2000. In that Office Examiner L. Libberecth asserted that the DE3542890 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Brown, US Patent 5440237 issued 8/8/1995 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28914 in an Office Action dated April 26, 2000. In that Office Examiner M. Zambuto asserted that the 5440237 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Assignee Texas Instruments, patent document EP0579448 published January 19, 1994 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28914 in an Office Action dated April 26, 2000. In that Office Examiner M. Zambuto asserted that the EP0579448 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Hilton, US Patent 5222400 issued June 29, 1993 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28913 in an Office Action dated May 26, 2000. In that Office Examiner M. . Baldan asserted that the 5222400 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Gobeli, US Patent 4536746 issued August 20,1985 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28913 in an Office Action dated May 26, 2000. In that Office Examiner M... Baldan asserted that the 4536746 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Armstrong, US Patent 5589828 issued Dec. 31, 1996 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28913 in an Office Action dated April 19, 2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted that the 5589828 reference was a PCT "X" type (lack of novelty indicated by "X") and also a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Armstrong, US Patent 5565891 issued Oct. 15, 1996 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28913 in an Office Action dated April 19, 2002. In that Office Action Examiner J. Brier asserted that the 5589828 reference was a PCT "X" type reference (lack of novelty indicated by "X").
- Assignee Synaptics, patent document WO9718508 published May 22, 1997 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US99/28956 in an Office Action dated April 27, 2000. In that Office Action Examiner P. Pham asserted that the WO9718508 reference was a PCT "X" type (lack of novelty indicated by "X").

- Inventors Maeshima et al, US Patent 5396235 issued March 7, 1995 was relied upon against applicant's PCT application no. PCT/US00/12840 in an Office Action dated Oct. 13, 2000. In that Office Action Examiner K. Wieder asserted that the 5396235 reference was a PCT "Y" type reference (used in obviousness).
- Inventor Bersheim, US Patent 4491325 issued 1/1/1985 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 08/707478 in an Office Action dated 5/30/1997. In that Office Action Examiner A. Wong asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 3 and also a 35 USC 103 rejection in sections 6-8 on pages 2-5.
- Inventor Thomas, US Patent 4604502 issued 8/5/1986 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 08/707478 in an Office Action dated 5/30/1997. In that Office Action Examiner A. Wong asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 4-5.
- Inventor Corballis, US Patent 5512892 issued 4/30/1996 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 08/707478 in an Office Action dated 5/30/1997. In that Office Action Examiner A. Wong asserted a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 6 on page 4.
- Inventor Tano, US Patent 4909514 issued 3/20/1990 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 08/707478 in an Office Action dated 5/30/1997. In that Office Action Examiner A. Wong asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 4 and also a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 7 on pages 3-5.
- 95. Inventor Adan et al, US Patent Publication 2002/0036660 published Mar. 28, 2002 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/754477 in an Office Action dated 09/25/2003. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 7 and also a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 9 on pages 4-6.
- 96. Inventor Nassimi, US Patent 5,790,102 issued Aug. 4, 1998 was relied upon against applicant's US patent application no. 09/754477 in an Office Action dated 09/25/2003. In that Office Action Examiner K. Nguyen asserted a 35 USC 102 rejection in section 5 and also a 35 USC 103 rejection in section 9 on pages 4-6.
- 97. Inventor Poulsom of German Patent DE4013227 published 05/29/1991 is of particular interest and therefore Applicant is setting Poulsom out here for special consideration by the Examiner especially in regards to claims 165, 181-185 of Applicant's U.S. Patent Application 09/893,292 and

any future claims of Applicant's including a "platform" element. Applicant believes Poulsom does not anticipate or make obvious any of these claims for at least the reason that in Poulsom figures 2 and 3 joy stick 3 is a vertically structured element, not a "platform" (from applicants claims). In Applicant's claims a platform is a horizontally structured element with a greater dimension along the two axes of input than along the third axis, for examples of a platform please see U.S. Patent No. 5,589,828 figure 2 platform 232 and U.S. Patent No. 6,222,525 figure 21 platform type element 300, figure 32 platform type element 423, figure 36 platform type element 500, figure 13 platform type element 222; and for further examples meeting Applicant's definition of a "platform" please see U.S Patent 6,428,416 figure 2 platform type element 12, figure 4 platform type element 201, figure 5 platform type element 301, and U.S. Patent 6,524,187 figure 16 platform type element 211.



MS Non Fee
CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

Commissioner for Patents PO BOX 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I hereby certify that the complete attached response / document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as EXPRESS mail article number ET418472837US, Post Office to Addressee, with sufficient postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450

on this date:

Dec. 5, 2003

DEC 1 0 2003

Technology Center 2600

Signature:

Brad A. Armstrong

MS Non Fee Commissioner for Patent PO BOX 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Re: Patent Application of Brad A. Armstrong

Applicant's reference F28

Application No.: 09/893,292

Filed: 06/26/01

Title: IMAGE CONTROLLER

Applicant's mailing address: Brad A. Armstrong

P.O. Box 1419

Paradise, CA 95967

Examiner: D. Chow

Group Art Unit: 2673

Dear Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is being filed before the first Action on the merits, and therefore no fee for this submission is required.

The following sections below address disclosures of prior art and relevant art that may pertain to claims of this application and its Divisional applications.

1. As part of this Information Disclosure Statement are listings on modified 1449 forms of : A) US Patent References, B) Foreign Patent References, C) Non Patent Disclosures and Other References, and D) US Patent Application Publications.

Best full or partial copies which Applicant currently possesses of each of the Foreign Patent References and Non Patent Disclosures and Other References are included herewith. Applicant understands that the PTO now supplies its own copies of the US Patents and US Patent Applications cited in new patent applications. If this is not correct and Applicant is required to acquire paper copies from the PTO and then supply them to the PTO, please inform Applicant as soon as possible so that the copies can be ordered from the PTO and sent to the Examiner. Thank you.

The Foreign Patent References are in some cases foreign patents and in other cases patent applications.

For the Examiner's convenience, each of the four (A-D) above described lists includes a column with heading of "Previously Submitted" wherein a "yes" is applied in that column next to each reference which was Previously Submitted in the Preliminary Amendment of June 18, 2003. If there is no "yes" in that column then the reference is herein Newly submitted. Please consider all Previously and Newly submitted references during the examination of the present application and claims.

Each of the four above described lists includes references to Footnotes of Special Interest. The "Footnotes-References of Special Interest" are included to provide assistance to the Examiner while determining allowability of the claims. The Footnotes pertain to Office Actions. So that the Examiner may be fully informed of all objections made in the past by any Patent Examiner against any of Applicant's claims, Applicant herein includes a copy of each Office Action regarding Applicant's other Patent Applications wherein an Examiner relied upon reference art as indicating lack of novelty or indicating obviousness either alone or in combination for the then claimed invention. Many of these objections were later found by the Examiner of record to be overcome resulting in issuance of U.S. Patents, but only the objections are listed here for the sake of brevity and so that the current Examiner can be fully informed of all arguments made in the past by other PTO Examiners against Applicant's claims. The current Examiner is requested to contact Applicant if Applicant can answer any questions regarding any of these Office Actions or the inventions to which they pertain.

2. Applicant has also provided the below comments and included photographs regarding products once on the market. One such product is the CyberMan™ controller first sold in 1993 in the USA by Logitech Inc. 6505 Kaiser Dr., Fremont CA USA. Applicant believes he is the inventor of the CyberMan controller which was made without his permission after failed licensing negotiations regarding Applicant's US Patent Application No. 07/847,619 now Patent 5,589,828. Applicant believes the primary element disclosed in the CyberMan that was not taught in the '828 Patent is the membrane element. Membrane elements are taught in Applicant's US Patent Application No. 08/677,378 filed July 5, 1996. It appears to Applicant that the "one year bar" rule applies to the membrane connection of sensors as disclosed in CyberMan. Nevertheless the '378 Patent Application teaches a great variety of novel and unobvious utilizations of a membrane in unique combination with many important elements. Additionally the '378 application teaches many elements in inventive combination, numerous

structural variations and inventive leaps; both with and without the cost saving advantages taught in the '378 application of the membrane connecting to the circuit board without the expensive wiring harness of CyberMan. Many embodiments of the '378 application do not require use of a membrane to be novel and inventive. And many embodiments of the '378 application having a membrane are novel and inventive over the CyberMan disclosure.

Located at the top of the stack of Reference Art copies is a CyberMan disclosure containing 1) an advertisement flyer with the heading CyberMan 3D Controller and 2) photographs 1, 2 and 3 of the CyberMan Controller assembled and also disassembled. Photograph 1 shows the CyberMan in a top perspective view and showing a base, a handle and three buttons. Photograph 2 shows a portion of the CyberMan in a disassembled state and showing the handle, three buttons, a microswitch for one of the buttons, a wiring harness spanning between a membrane located in the handle and a circuit board located in the base. The three buttons each use normally-open momentary-On switches. No proportional pressure-sensors are used. Movement of the major plate is tracked by two bidirectional slide potentiometers (variable resistors), all other sensors are unidirectional sensors of a momentary-On On/Off only type. The major plate is moveable in two-axes. Photograph 3 shows a portion of the CyberMan in a disassembled state. Shown in photograph 3 is the handle in an upside-down position and having a motor with offset weight for providing active tactile feedback. Four metal dome On/Off switches on a 1st plane (two axes input), and two more On/Off switches located on a third and fourth planes (third axis) are all integrated with the flexible membrane. The membrane further has solder connections to two metal dome On/Off switches (fourth axis) and solder connections to the three On/Off microswitches associated with the finger depressible buttons.

The membrane is located in the handle and the circuit board is located in the base. The expensive conventional wiring harness spans between the

membrane in the handle and the circuit board in the base. The membrane does not physically engage, contact or connect to the circuit board. The membrane does not touch the circuit board and the membrane does not lay adjacent to the circuit board. The membrane is not adhered to the circuit board, directly connected to the circuit board, or otherwise in close proximity to the circuit board. All metal domes and physical switch packages are located on only one side of the membrane.

Regarding the circuit board, two sensors are located on only one side of the circuit board (the two bi-directional sliding potentiometers or variable resistors) the second side of the circuit board has no sensors located on it.

This Application No. 09/893,292 (bearing 230 claims) is a continuation of the Application '378. The Examiner is requiring a restriction to 24 different invention groups, none of the invention groups are defined by having or not having a membrane, thus the current application teaches many inventions without a membrane and may also teach many inventions including a membrane, and inventions with novel applications of a membrane.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to examine the claims in light of the CyberMan disclosure which the Applicant describes herein and includes photographs for the Examiner's consideration. If the Examiner needs any additional information regarding CyberMan please contact Applicant or Logitech at the above listed address, or Applicant would be glad to supply a working example of the CyberMan (with screwdriver included:-) for the Examiner.

3. Another product on the market is a video game controller manufactured by Namco Ltd. The Namco controller is believed to have been the controller that was referred to as the "NEO GEO" controller in Application No. 08/942,450 now Patent 6,102,802, in paper no. 3, a Preliminary Amendment dated July 7, 1999 by the PTO and cited by Applicant at that time for an example of a two hand held controller with an analog button in the right hand area. The Namco controller has

POSITIONAL button sensors which were critically differentiated from Applicant's PRESSURE button sensors resulting in the now issued U.S. Patent 6,102,802. Of interest to the present claims the Namco controller is an image controller utilizing four rotary potentiometers. The printed material associated with the Namco controller has a copyright date of 1994 which Applicant assumes is the first time of sale to the public. Three photographs are included of the Namco controller.

Photograph 1 is of the top of the controller. In the left hand area is positioned a four-way cross key or rocker for operation by the user's left hand thumb. The rocker actuates four normally-open momentary-On On/Off only switches. Two shoulder buttons are positioned for operation one each for the user's right and left hand index fingers. Four individual buttons are embodied in the right hand area for operation by the right hand thumb. Two of the four buttons are normally-open momentary-On On/Off only switches. The other two of the buttons on the right hand area of the Namco controller are buttons structured to drive gears to rotate potentiometers. These gear-drive buttons are depressible only in a linear fashion, the buttons themselves do not pivot or rotate.

Photograph 2 is a picture of the Namco controller in an upside-down position with a housing bottom panel removed on the right hand side of the controller in order to show internal components associated with the two gear-drive buttons. The buttons rest on metal coil compression springs and the human user can depress the buttons with his right thumb. The metal coil springs return the buttons to a normally extended or raised position. The buttons are connected to rack and pinion gears to translate the linear travel of the buttons into rotation of a pinion gear, and the pinion gear is connected to the rotary shaft of an electrical rotary potentiometer.

Photograph 3 is a picture of the Namco controller in an upside-down position with both housing bottom panels removed to show the internal components of the controller. Four rotary potentiometers are utilized. The first and second rotary potentiometers are as described in Photograph 2 above. The third rotary potentiometer is utilized with a similar rack and pinion type gearing

with an individual button, this button being the shoulder button depressible by the user's left hand index finger. The fourth rotary potentiometer has planetary type, gearing for sensing the articulation between the right and left hand areas of the Namco case. Of interest the three rotary potentiometers associated with depressible buttons are not embodied to act as bi-directional sensors as defined in the current specification. In contrast the fourth rotary potentiometer is embodied in the Namco controller as a bi-directional sensor, for example, the two case halves of the Namco controller can be rotated in two separate directions for the normally resting position. The Namco controller also has three circuit boards.

The Namco controller does not have a flexible membrane connecting to any circuit board. The Namco controller does not have a flexible membrane bearing circuitry. The Namco controller does not have any structure for active tactile feedback. The Namco controller does not have a motor and offset weight. The Namco controller does not have any pressure sensors. The Namco controller does not pressure sensors associated with individual buttons. The Namco controller does not have any pivotal or rotary buttons. The Namco controller does not have any single element structured to activate more than one rotary potentiometer.

4. Inventor Poulsom of German Patent DE4013227 published 05/29/1991 is of particular interest and therefore Applicant is setting Poulsom out here for special consideration by the Examiner especially in regards to claims 165, 181-185 of Applicant's U.S. Patent Application 09/893,292 and any future claims of Applicant's including a "platform" element or claims having a single two axes element combined with a motor and offset weight. Applicant believes Poulsom does not anticipate or make obvious any of Applicant's claims having a "platform" element for at least the reason that in Poulsom figures 2 and 3 joy stick 3 is a vertically structured element, not a "platform" (from applicants claims). In Applicant's claims a platform is a horizontally structured element with a greater dimension along the two axes of input than along the third axis, for

examples of a platform please see U.S. Patent No. 5,589,828 figure 2 platform 232 and U.S. Patent No. 6,222,525 figure 21 platform type element 300, figure 32 platform type element 423, figure 36 platform type element 500, figure 13 platform type element 222; and for further examples meeting Applicant's definition of a "platform" please see U.S Patent 6,428,416 figure 2 platform type element 12, figure 4 platform type element 201, figure 5 platform type element 301, and U.S. Patent 6,524,187 figure 16 platform type element 211.

5. Applicant further wishes to inform the Examiner that during licensing negotiations of Applicant's issued patents a third party corporation has presented to Applicant Japanese Utility Model Publication No. 5-87760 and Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 7-302159 asserting full anticipation of many of Applicant's US Patents including Patent 6,102,802, Patent 6,135,886, Patent 5,999,084 and Patent 6,208,271. Copies of both of these Japanese references along with the English translations were supplied by the third party to Applicant and are included herewith for review by the Examiner. Applicant believes that all claims of the current application are not taught or suggested by these Japanese documents and requests the Examiner to treat these documents as if they are authentic. The third party argued that claim 12 of Applicant's U.S. Patent 6,222,525 was anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,246,452 to Chandler as Chandler discloses a hand held remote controller with a membrane sheet connecting the sensors of a two-axes input member with independent button sensors. The third party argued that Applicant's U.S. Patent 5,589,828 claims 15-18 were fully anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,207,426 to Inoue et al and U.S.Patent 4,469,330 to Asher. The third party also presented to Applicant Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 63-29113 for another example of an analog sensor, and U.S. Patent 4,745,301 to Michalchik for disclosing a pressure sensitive material which is deformable elastomeric material having carbon particles used in a pressure sensitive switch with two electrodes.

Applicant does not agree with most of the third party assertions. This third party has recently proposed a lucrative business agreement with Applicant in

which it would agree to the validity of many of Applicant's above mentioned U.S. Patents.

6. During licensing negotiations with another party, that third party's Patent Attorney asserted US Patent 5,278,557 described a force sensitive control key (variable resistance controller) and a dome cap which provided tactile feedback. Upon review of the 5,278,557 patent Applicant finds that there is no description of the dome cap providing a snap or break-over threshold to provide a tactile feedback to the user as asserted by the third party. "Tactile feel" is mentioned one time in the '557 patent in regards to the amount of force that the user should or should not be required to press with his finger to cause varying in the output of the force sensitive control key.

The Patent Attorney also asserted that O'Mara of U.S. Patent 5,510,812 has a pressure sensitive 4-way rocker in a game controller not disclosed to be held by two hands of the user, and that aspect of O'Mara is relevant to Applicant's U.S. Patent 6,343,991. Additionally, that third party's Patent Attorney determined or agreed that the two hand held video game controller of Japanese disclosure JP 5-87760 (Furukawa discussed above) does not disclose pressure sensitive single depressible independent analog buttons in the right hand area as claimed in Applicant's '991 U.S. Patent.

The current claims have many elements in combination not taught or suggested by O'Mara or Furukawa.

7. Many of the claims currently pending in this application were previously included as claims in U.S. Patent applications No. 09/710,557 and No. 09/721,848 examined by the current Examiner D. Chow. Examiner Chow suggested as a matter of procedure to move all claims into the instant application. The Examiner has explained to Applicant 24 invention groups to which the currently pending claims will be restricted. Against many of the

pending claims the Examiner has held Ogata Patent No. 6,001,014 and Goto Patent No. 6,231,444 as prior art. The Examiner then determined that Ogata and Goto do not have a sufficiently early date to be considered as prior art. Applicant understands that: The Examiner asserts Ogata 6,001,014 and Goto 6,231,444 are relevant art with similar structures to all of the currently pending claims.

If the Examiner disagrees in any way with Applicant's understanding expressed in the above paragraph, then the Examiner is respectfully requested to state any such disagreement in writing. Thank you.

8. Some of Applicant's claims have a "passive tactile feedback" element or the like which commonly is embodied in Applicant's inventions as a dome element combined with a pressure sensor. Other similar language in Applicant's claims may be "snap-through" or "break-over" or "threshold" tactile feedback or the like, describing one or more elements in some of Applicant's claims in inventive combination with other elements. During examination of Applicant's claims having this feature the Examiner is respectfully requested to consider at least US Patent 5,164,697 to Kramer and U.S. Patent 4,786,764 issued to Padula, et al

The word "snap" occurs twice in the Kramer '697patent. Once in column 1 and once in column 1 lines 10-35 and again in column 5, lines 35-51. In Applicant's opinion, the snap or snap effect in the Kramer U.S. Patent 5,164,697 in each of the two occurrences refers only to the rapid or quick movement of the contacts relative to each other, and has nothing to do with tactile feedback to the user as was asserted by the third party.

Perhaps more relevant to the "passive tactile feedback" claim feature is U.S. Patent 4,786,764 issued to Padula, et al on Nov. 22, 1988 for the invention entitled DIGITIZER STYLUS WITH PRESSURE TRANSDUCER. The Padula patent describes an elongated stylus held in one hand similar to a pen or pencil

for writing on an electronic digitizer tablet. One primary problem sought to be solved by Padula is the elimination of spurious data inputs during signature verification from less than adequate pressing force by the human hand of the stylus tip against the digitizer tablet. To solve that problem Padula describes use of a pressure switch which includes a transducer in the form on an ink layer having electrical resistance which varies as a function of pressure. The transducer material is in contact with circuitry on a flexible material sheet. The pressure switch is located in the stylus wherein the force against the stylus tip is applied to the transducer. The variable output (analog output) of the pressure switch is read by processing electronics. The analog output changes with increasing force against the stylus, and when a threshold level change is detected data flow from the stylus is allowed. In other words, to solve the spurious data problem, the data flow from the stylus is provided only when the pressure with which the stylus tip contacts the digitizer tablet is above a predetermined value. A collapsible dome of metal in the stylus is arranged to collapse with snap action and provide tactile feedback to the user when the predetermined force is obtained. When pressure is removed from the stylus tip, the dome snaps back to its original undeformed state, ready for the next operation. Removal of the digitizer point from the tablet surface allows the stylus to return to a standby condition wherein no pressure is exerted on the ink layer. Thus while the pressure switch is described or used as an On and Off switch with the stylus actuated with sufficient force and deactivated with less force against the tip, in one embodiment of the invention, the part which is displaceable against the FSR (force-sensitive resistant) transducer when the pressure is applied to the stylus tip is resilient and substantially planar. The change in resistance of the transducer ink layer in this case is a function of pressure. In this way an analog signal is derived which is related to the force applied to the stylus tip. This analog signal can be used advantageously in mechanical or electrical drawing, where varying force indicates the use of or need for lines of varying thickness, for example, when digitizing a blueprint or circuit, in addition to the use already noted in connection with signature verification. In another embodiment of the

invention, the part which is displaceable against the transducer when the pressure is applied to the stylus tip is resilient and rounded, whereby the area of the part pressed against the transducer increases as the pressure increases. The change in resistance in this case is a function of both the pressure and the change in the surface area of contact between the displaceable part and the transducer. The Examiner is requested to read Padula for any additional relevant details. Thank you.

Applicant's claims generally have two different kinds of tactile feedback: "passive" and "active". The Kramer and Padula Patents are cited by Applicant regarding Applicant's claims having "passive tactile feedback" (e.g. pressure sensor and dome structure) and NOT regarding Applicant's claims having "active tactile feedback" (e.g. motor and offset weight).

9. Please consider the issue of double-patenting regarding this application and Applicant's other pending U.S. applications which can be readily located by a search of the PTO records for pending applications under the Inventor name of "Brad A. Armstrong". Applicant believes that the most important pending claims to review relative to the claims of this application are the allowed claims in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/715,532 examined in art unit 2675 by Examiner M. Moyer which has recently been continued as an RCE with the comprehensive prior art disclosure similar to this disclosure. Other pending claims which could be reviewed are in U.S. Pending application No. 10/028,071 in art unit 3713 and U.S. pending application No. 10/042,027 in art unit 3714, although all of Applicant's claims should be reviewed. Applicant would be happy to discuss each claim with the Examiner. If the Examiner wishes and requests such, Applicant would be more than willing to submit copies of all of his currently pending claims. If the Examiner believes that would be helpful, please do not hesitate in requesting such from Applicant. Thank you.

10. Please consider the issue of double-patenting regarding this application and Applicant's Issued U.S. Patents which can be readily located by a search of the PTO records for issued patent under the Inventor name of "Brad A. Armstrong". Applicant believes that the following U.S. Patents of Applicant's have at least some similarity and priority claims to U.S. Patent 5,589,828 as does the instant application and thus should be reviewed for double-patenting: U.S. Patent 5,565,891; U.S. Patent 5,589,828; U.S. Patent 6,222,525; U.S. Patent 6,310,606; U.S. Patent 6,344,791 and U.S. Patent 6,347,997. If the Examiner wishes additional information, please do not hesitate in requesting such from Applicant. Thank you.

Applicant realizes the instant application and this IDS are extensive and sincerely apologizes to the Examiner. The legal system regarding prior art disclosure, as presently determined by the courts, is a harsh master – expensive, time consuming and difficult – for an inventor who only wants to enjoy the fruit of his invention. Please examine the instant claims thoroughly so that Applicant may receive a valid and worthy Patent.

Thank you for your time.

Please do not hesitate in requesting anything from Applicant that might assist the Examiner.

Respectfully,

Brad A. Armstrong

Date: Dec 5, 2003